

Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 GENEVA 01303 272138Z

70

ACTION SS-30

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 /031 W

----- 058461

O P 272104Z FEB 74

FM USMISSION GENEVA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC NIACT IMMEDIATE 4311

INFO AMEMBASSY SAIGON IMMEDIATE

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW PRIORITY

USDEL JEC PARIS

S E C R E T GENEVA 1303

EXDIS

FROM ALDRICH

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PARM, ICRC

SUBJECT: PRG QUESTION AT LAWS OF WAR CONFERENCE

1. AT CLOSE OF TODAY'S CHAOTIC PLENARY SESSION (DESCRIBED SEPTTEL) USSR DELEGATE SOUGHT ME OUT TO MAKE OFFER OF COMPROMISE PACKAGE DEAL ON PARTICIPATION QUESTIONS. HE SAID THEY AND THEIR FRIENDS WOULD SETTLE FOR PRG PARTICIPATION IN CONFERENCE WITHOUT, RPT, WITHOUT, RIGHT OF VOTE IF WE WOULD NOT INSIST ON VOTING ON PRG SEPARATELY. HE ALSO ADDED THREAT THAT, IF WE REJECTED THIS OFFER, HE WOULD BE FORCED TO RENEGE ON DRAFT RESOLUTION ACCEPTED TODAY BY ALL REGIONAL GROUPS ON INVITATION TO NATIONAL LIBERATION MOVEMENTS. SOVS WOULD DO THIS, HE SAID AND DEMAND VOTING RIGHTS FOR THESE MOVEMENTS, DESPITE THEIR RELUCTANCE TO SEE THEM GIVEN VOTING RIGHTS, BECAUSE OF OUR FIRM OPPOSITION TO PRG.

2. PRESENT FACTS ARE THAT WE HAVE DEFEATED SOVIET EFFORTS TO KEEP AFRICAN AND ARAB PARTICIPATION ISSUES TIED TO PRG ISSUE BY ACHIEVING AGREEMENT ON HANDLING OF ALL BUT PRG. AS REPORTED SEPTTEL, WE AGREED TO CONSENSUS INVITATION TO GUINEA-BISSAU AND NATIONAL LIBERATION MOVEMENTS WHICH WOULD BE ACCEPTED WITHOUT VOTING BUT WITH RIGHT OF ALL DISSENTERS, INCLUDING US, TO STATE RESERVATIONS AND OBJECTIONS. THIS MEANT THAT SOLE VOTE ON

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 GENEVA 01303 272138Z

PROPOSED INVITATIONS WOULD BE ON PRG QUESTION, AND AT A POINT

WHERE MOST ARABS AND AFRICANS WOULD HAVE NO SIGNIFICANT INTEREST IN IT.

3. SOVIETS HAVE OBVIOUSLY BEEN VERY NERVOUS ABOUT RUNNING THIS RISK, BUT I THOUGHT THEY WERE LIKELY TO DO ONE OF TWO THINGS: EITHER DROP REQUEST FOR PRG INVITATION ON GROUNDS THAT SWISS AND AMERICANS HAD EXERTED UNDUE PRESSURE, THUS DEFEATING PRG RIGHTS, OR PROPOSE CHANGING PRG INVITATION TO ONE FOR OBSERVER STATUS, WITH CAVEAT THAT GVN WOULD ALSO BE REDUCED TO OBSERVER STATUS. LATTER OBVIOUSLY MOST DIFFICULT AS IT WOULD EASILY BE ACCEPTED BY CONFERENCE. EITHER SOVIETS HAVE NOT THOUGHT OF THIS OR THEY ARE RESERVING IT FOR USE IF US REJECTS THEIR PROPOSAL.

4. KEY ELEMENT, IN MY VIEW, IS THREAT. IT SEEMS CERTAIN THAT SOVIETS KNOW THAT EC 9 DELS AND OTHER WESTERN DELS HAVE AGREED THEY WOULD REQUEST INSTRUCTIONS TO WITHDRAW FROM CONFERENCE IF NATIONAL LIBERATION MOVEMENTS GIVEN VOTING RIGHTS AS IF THEY WERE STATES. MEANING OF SOVIET THREAT IS, THEREFORE, THAT THEY PREPARED WRECK CONFERENCE AND FORCE WESTERN DEPARTURE, RATHER THAN ACCEPT POSSIBILITY OF DEFEAT ON PRG ISSUE. THEY MAY BE BLUFFING, BUT FACT IS THEY HAVE BERY LITTLE INTEREST IN SUBSTANCE OF CONFERENCE. IF THE THREAT IS ONLY A BLUFF, OUR REFUSAL TO ACCEPT THEIR PROPOSAL WOULD PROBABLY RESULT IN THEIR CHANGING THEIR RESOLUTION TO PROVIDE FOR NO VOTE FOR PRG. AS SO CHANGED, IT WOULD EASILY BE ADOPTED. IF, ON OTHER HAND, THREAT IS REAL, CONFERENCE WILL BE DESTROYED BY WESTERN WALKOUT AND RELATIONS BETWEEN US AND SOME ARAB AND AFRICANS COUNTRIES WILL BE DAMAGED.

5. I REALIZE THIS CHOICE IS DIFFICULT ONE AND THAT GVN (AND PERHAPS USG) MAY FIND IT HARD TO MAKE NECESSARY DECISION BY FEBRUARY 28 (TOMORROW) AT 9 AM GENEVA TIME, WHEN I AM TO SEE SOVIET DELEGATE (PLENARY RESUMES AT 10). MY RECOMMENDATION IS THAT GVN AND USG ACCEPT SOVIET PROPOSAL, ASSUMING I CAN NAIL DOWN FIRMLY ASSURANCE OF NO SUBSEQUENT ATTACK BY SOVS OR THEIR FRIENDS AT THIS CONFERENCE ON GVN STATUS AS FULL VOTING MEMBER.

6. VOTE COUNTS VERY INEXACT, AND OUR SHOWS EVENLY DIVIDED VOTE. SOME OF SOVIET NERVOUSNESS MAY RESULT FROM IGNORANCE OF NUMBER OF WESTERN ABSTENTIONS. WITH PSYCHOLOGICAL CLIMATE CREATED BY OUR MASSIVE DEFEAT TODAY ON TWO-THIRDS VOTING PROPOSAL, FACT IS
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 GENEVA 01303 272138Z

THAT CHANCES OF WINNING PRG VOTE TOMORROW PROBABLY LESS THAN EVEN. ALTHOUGH SOVS DOUBTLESS WANT U.S. AND WESTERN ACCEPTANCE OF PRG, EVEN IN A LOWER STATUS THAN GVN, WE CAN POINT TO PECULIAR HUMANITARIAN NATURE OF CONFERENCE AND FACT THAT PRG STATUS WOULD BE SAME AS AFRICAN AND ARAB NATIONAL LIBERATION MOVEMENTS TO MITIGATE ADVERSE EFFECTS.

7. IF DECISION NOT REACHED BY TOMORROW (THURSDAY) MORNING

GENEVA TIME AND IF NOT INSTRUCTED OTHERWISE, I SHALL INFORM
SOVIETS THAT I AM NOT, RPT NOT, AUTHORIZED TO ACCEPT PROPOSAL
BUT URGE THAT THEY NOT TAKE RECKLESS ACTION TO DESTROY
CONFERENCE. IF THEY REALLY SEEM BENT ON DOING SO, I SHALL SUGGEST
ON "PERSONAL" BASIS THAT THEY CAN ACHIEVE RESULTS THEY WANT BY
SIMPLY CHANGING PROPOSED PRG RES. TO ONE CALLING FOR NON-VOTING
STATUS AND PRESSING IT TO THE VOTE. PLEASE INSTRUCT URGENTLY.
DALE

SECRET

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: MEETINGS, LAW OF WAR
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 27 FEB 1974
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: rowelle0
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974GENEVA01303
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: P740145-2334
From: GENEVA
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t1974024/aaaaadon.tel
Line Count: 123
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION SS
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: rowelle0
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 25 APR 2002
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <25 APR 2002 by elyme>; APPROVED <02-Oct-2002 by rowelle0>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: PRG QUESTION AT LAW OF WAR CONFERENCE
TAGS: PARM, ICRC
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005